



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,283	07/22/2003	Cindy Whitacre	1160215.0505406	7463

7590 05/13/2008  
FROST BROWN TODD LLC  
2200 PNC Center  
201 E. Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202-4182

EXAMINER
----------

FLEISCHER, MARK A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

05/13/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/624,283	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITACRE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MARK A. FLEISCHER	<b>Art Unit</b> 4143	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MARK A. FLEISCHER.

(3) Barry Visconte.

(2) James A. Reagan.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: Stuart, Richman and Loya.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed aspects of the evaluation method of the Application pertaining to the use of qualitative factors and issues relating to the "intuitive display". This latter component was also discussed in terms of the inherent vagueness of the term "intuitive". The claim objection was discussed and clarified and claims 1 and 8 were specifically discussed along with the relevant prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mark A Fleischer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 4143

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required